

Board of Advisors

Robert Boruch University of Pennsylvania

Jonathan Crane Coalition for Evidence-Based Policy

> David Ellwood Harvard University

> > Judith Gueron MDRC

Ron Haskins Brookings Institution

> Blair Hull Matlock Capital

Robert Hoyt Jennison Associates

David Kessler Former FDA Commissioner

> Jerry Lee Jerry Lee Foundation

> > Dan Levy Harvard University

Jodi Nelson Bill & Melinda Gates

Foundation

Diane Ravitch New York University

Howard Rolston

Abt Associates

Brookings Institution

Martin Seligman University of Pennsylvania

Robert Solow Massachusetts Institute of Technology

> Nicholas Zill Westat, Inc.

> > President

Jon Baron jbaron@coalition4evidence.org (202) 683-8049

1725 I Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 www.coalition4evidence.org Ocotber 24, 2013

MEMORANDUM

TO: Board of Advisors of the Coalition for Evidence-Based Policy

FROM: Jon Baron

RE: Update - Coalition for Evidence-Based Policy

We're pleased to report the following key developments related to our work:

1. The federal government has taken a major step forward to institutionalize rigorous evidence standards, with the publication of Common Evidence Guidelines developed by the Institute of Education Sciences (IES) and National Science Foundation (NSF). The Guidelines "identify the spectrum of study types that contribute to development and testing of interventions and strategies, and ... specify expectations for the contributions of each type of study." The Office of Management and Budget and Office of Science and Technology Policy helped facilitate the IES-NSF discussions, and although the Guidelines focus on education research, we believe their main concepts are also applicable to other fields of social policy research and evaluation.

Importantly, the Guidelines include a core concept we have advanced with federal officials over many years: "generally and when feasible, [impact studies] should use designs in which the treatment and comparison groups are randomly assigned." This concept has been a signature element of our work with federal officials since the Coalition's launch in 2001. We were pleased not only with the recommendation, but also its breadth: the Guidelines intend it to apply to the full range of studies designed to measure a program's impact, from early-stage "efficacy" studies to later-stage "scale-up" evaluations.

2. Using the IES-NSF Guidelines as a framework, the Coalition has published a short white paper — Practical Evaluation Strategies for Building a Body of Proven—

Effective Social Programs: Suggestions for Research and Program Funders (six pages). The paper provides concrete suggestions for using evaluations to identify interventions that produce sizable effects on important life outcomes, recognizing that most interventions, when rigorously evaluated, are found to produce weak or no effects compared to services-as-usual.

We hope this update is helpful. Any thoughts or suggestions you have on our work would be much appreciated.

Jon